

DCP 464 Working Group - Meeting 09

10 June 2026 at 11:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Alexander Pentecost [AP]	Eclipse
Donna Jamieson [DJ]	Idcsl
Ed Grimsey [EG]	BU-UK
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation
Ephie Chalakateva [EC]	National Grid
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo Networks
Gus Wood [GW]	Gowling
Martin Brace [MB]	UKPN
Nik Wills [NW]	Stark
Owen Black [OB]	SPEN
Rob Gladstone [RG]	SSE
Code Administrator	
Andy Green [AG] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Charles Deacon [CD]	Eclipse
Drew Johnstone [DJ]	NPg
Tracey Taylor [TT]	SP ENW

1. Administration

Recording

- 1.1 The Chair noted that the meeting is being recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

Apologies

- 1.2 The Chair noted received apologies. These can be found in the attendees list above.

Competition Law Guidance and Terms of Reference

- 1.3 The Working Group agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

Previous Minutes

- 1.4 The Chair invited the Working Group to review the previous meeting minutes – no further feedback was received, and the minutes were approved.

Open Actions

- 1.5 The Chair confirmed that all actions captured within this meeting will be recorded within the action log; this can be found in the Appendix.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to continue to review the draft legal text within the Working Group and agree next steps.

3. Review Draft Legal Text

- 3.1 The Chair presented the draft legal text that includes GW's amendments, live on screen for the Working Group to review and discuss.
- 3.2 The key updates can be found below:
- 3.3 The Chair presented the updated draft legal text alongside the proposed alternate options to the Working Group on screen.
- 3.4 RG asked the group whether they agree with the current wording whereby it states that an IDNO connection should be considered as a single user or should the number of connections on the IDNO network be factored in when considering how to regard that connection point.
- 3.5 GW confirmed that based on the discussions held previously, the wording references that if an IDNO only has one connection, this would be considered single use and if there is more than one connection it would be considered shared use.
- 3.6 MB confirmed that respondents will be presented with two options when it comes to voting. MB confirmed that with option 1, an IDNO is always single use and never shared use; with option 2, an IDNO can be shared use but the wording to define multiple users on the IDNO network may be difficult to explain.

- 3.7 Following the above, GW explained that two versions of the draft legal text will be needed – one version that is in line with option 1, and the current version of the draft legal text with legal review is in line with option 2.
- 3.8 GW agreed to take an action to produce a version of draft legal text that is in line with option 1 for the Working Group to review.

ACTION 09/01: GW to produce a version of draft legal text that is in line with option 1 for the Working Group to review.

- 3.9 Members discussed the suggestion of moving the reference to paragraph 1.36 which explains load growth – it was agreed that the current wording within paragraph 1.36 describes load growth and for the current wording to remain as is.
- 3.10 The Chair asked the group whether the definition of ‘LDNO’ within Schedule 16 will need updating – GW suggested that having a simple broad definition as it is currently defined would be most suitable, and does not believe it is necessary to change the current definition for this CP.
- 3.11 ED queried whether an additional Consultation will be issued to gain feedback on both versions of the draft legal text, or whether this CP will progress directly to Change Report – it was agreed that this can be further discussed and agreed during the next meeting once scheduled in.
- 3.12 The Chair informed GW that there have been a number of discussions in regard to [CMP 460 ‘Improving Transmission Connection Asset Charging’](#) which is currently being progressed through the CUSC, and queried whether the drafting for this CP should align with that of CMP 460 – GW presented the CMP 460 draft legal text on screen for the Working Group to take a look at. Following this, GW stated that there is no need for them to reflect one another as they are dealing with two different scenarios, but parallels will logically be drawn between option 2 and the current CMP 460 legal text.
- 3.13 ED mentioned that it may be worth revisiting CMP 460 and DCP 461 [‘Reducing the Impact of Transmission Distribution Charges’](#) during the next Working Group meeting as there may be potential secondary impacts that should be considered. The Chair agreed to include this on the next Working Group agenda for further discussion.

ACTION 09/02: The Secretariat to include an agenda item for the next Working Group meeting to further discuss the impacts of CMP 460 and DCP 461.

- 3.14 In terms of next steps, the Working Group agreed that it would be beneficial to schedule another meeting in for members to review and finalise the two versions of draft legal text, with the aim to go out to vote. If members decide that a second Consultation is needed, this will be further discussed during the next meeting.
- 3.15 The Chair agreed to take an action to produce a draft Change Report for the Working Group to review.

ACTION 09/03: The Secretariat to produce a draft Change Report for the Working Group to review.

4. Agreed Next Steps

4.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

- GW to provide the Working Group with two versions of draft legal text to review.
- The Secretariat to produce a draft Change Report for the Working Group to review.

5. Any Other Business

5.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.

5.2 There were no other items raised.

6. Date of Next Meeting – 24 June 2026

6.1 The next Working Group will be held on 24 June 2026 at 10am.

7. Attachments

- Attachment 1_DCP 464 Work Plan

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/03	The Secretariat to seek legal advice around how the additional wording should be added to paragraph 1.17 within Schedule 22.	Secretariat	Ongoing. <i>This will be completed in due course.</i>
05/03	The Secretariat to develop a matrix showing how many respondents preferred each option and a list of pros and cons for each of the options.	Secretariat	Ongoing. <i>This will be completed in due course.</i>
08/08	RG to circulate the updated diagrams to the Working Group for review.	Rob Gladstone / Working Group	Ongoing.
08/09	The Secretariat to provide the updated diagrams to Gus with the draft legal text for review once the Working Group have reviewed them.	Secretariat	Ongoing.
09/01	GW to produce a version of draft legal text that is in line with option 1 for the Working Group to review.	GW	New Action.
09/02	The Secretariat to include an agenda item for the next Working Group meeting to further discuss the impacts of CMP 460 and DCP 461.	Secretariat	New Action.
09/03	The Secretariat to produce a draft Change Report for the Working Group to review.	Secretariat	New Action.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
08/01	The Secretariat to clarify with Gus Wood (Gowling) whether there is a second drafting of the legal text to cover both scenarios, or whether one version was intentional as no further amendments were needed.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/02	The Secretariat/Working Group to add clarity (wording/definition) around the term 'justification' within the legal text.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/03	The Secretariat to seek legal advice from Gus around clarifying/defining 'load growth' within the legal text.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/04	The Secretariat to seek legal advice from Gus around using similar drafting that is being used within CMP 460.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/05	The Secretariat to seek legal advice from Gus around whether the definition of LDNO within Schedule 16 needs updating.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/06	The Secretariat to ask Gus Wood for his availability to attend the next Working Group meeting.	Secretariat	Closed.
08/07	The Secretariat to issue a meeting poll to the Working Group to schedule the next meeting (once Gus has provided his availability to attend).	Secretariat	Closed.